A proposal of a method for planning and designing water supply and drainage facilities for buildings managed under BCP or LCP

OSaki Watari Masayuki Otsuka Takafumi Matsuo

CIB W062 Symposium in Haarlem, the Netherlands, August 23-August 25 2017

Otsuka Laboratory 🧿

1. Background of the study

In recent years, Japan has suffered large-scale disasters including the Great East Japan Earthquake in 2011 and the Kumamoto Earthquake in 2016.

These disasters caused extensive damage to lifelines, such as water and sewage services.

Damage reports predict that if Japan were struck by a large-scale disaster again, water and sewage services would be interrupted for as long as one or two months.



Damage of the Great East Japan Earthquake by the tsunami (Ofunato City in Iwate Prefecture)

Otsuka Laboratory

1. Background of the study

Nevertheless, no specific planning and designing method with consideration of BCP (Business Continuity Planning) or LCP (Life Continuity Planning) has been satisfactorily verified.

The items discussed in our study are as follows:

(1)Suggested amounts of water used in the aftermath of disaster, which are water supply unit amounts

(2) Estimation of lifeline recovery periods

(3) Proposal of a planning and designing flow to determine water tank capacities adequate for water supply and drainage facilities

(4) Estimations in the case of apartment housing

Damage of the Great East Japan Earthquake by the tsunami (Ofunato City in Iwate Prefecture)

Otsuka Laboratory

2. Study overview

Suggested amounts of water used in the aftermath of disaster, which are water supply unit amounts

Previous studies and disaster prevention plans by local authorities were examined to identify suggested amounts of water required for daily life in the aftermath of disaster.

- Amounts of emergency water according to the disaster prevention plans by local authorities
 locally determined water amounts
- Total amount of water required for specific daily activities in the aftermath of disaster as determined by researchers
 - water amounts determined in previous reports
- The amount of water necessary to maintain lives to be secured at the time of the disaster set in this study
 - ⇒ suggested water amounts

2. Study overview

Planning and designing method for determining water tank capacities for water supply and drainage facilities

In our proposed planning and designing flow, the elevated water tank system is adopted with consideration of BCP or LCP.

♦ A method for determining water tank capacities for water supply and drainage facilities under general circumstances

➡ ordinary-time design

How to calculate the capacity of water tanks that can store all the water used at the time of a disaster even under normal conditions
 time-of-disaster design



2. Study overview Apartment housing conditions considered in the estimations



Plane view of the underground pit of the apartment housing (non-scale)



2. Study overview Water supply and drainage system grades

The water supply and drainage system grades are grouped into three grades according to equipment level considering BCP or LCP.



3. Results and considerations

Suggested amounts of water used in the aftermath of disaster, which are water supply unit amounts

- Locally determined water amount(n=7)
- Water amounts determined in previous reports (n=2)
- ···▲·· Suggested water amount



The averages of the water amounts and suggested water amounts corresponding to the assumed number of days of recovery Securing water for flushing toilets and sewage treatment were particularly challenging in a past earthquake disaster. determined in preports.

However, the second s

120[L/(person day)], similar to Day 15-21

In this study, estimate using the suggested water amounts.

Otsuka Laboratory

3. Results and considerations Assumed periods of lifeline recoveries

The recovery period of each lifeline, from the time a disaster strikes to cause interruption to the time recovery is achieved, is divided into four levels.

- Levels I and II => the scale of disaster causing damage that can be repaired quickly
- Level III the same scale as that of the Kumamoto Earthquake
 - Level IV the same scale as that of the Great Hanshin Awaji Earthquake, the Great East Japan Earthquake or the Nankai Trough Megathrust Earthquake (in the future)

Lifeline recovery levels

Recovery level		Ι	Π	Ш	IV	
Recovery period	Clean water supply	3 days	7 days	21 days	2 months(60 days)	
	Sewage disposal	2 days	4 days	2 weeks(14 days)	5 weeks(39 days)	
	Electric power	1 days	2 days	1 week(7 days)	2 weeks(14 days)	
Otsuka Laborato						

3. Results and considerations

Proposal of a planning and designing flow for determining water tank capacities for water supply and drainage facilities

Otsuka Laboratory



Planning and design flow for determining water tank capacities for water supply and drainage facilities



3. Results and considerations

Proposal of a planning and designing flow for determining water tank capacities for water supply and drainage facilities

Estimation conditions for water tank capacities for grade 3 water supply and drainage facilities

Considerations of the Grade 3 system is as follows.

◆ The amount of water for flushing emergency toilets

◆ Total water usage (non-potable water) per recovery level

- \blacklozenge Water for cleaning the emergency house drain \Rightarrow Rainwater is used.
- The period during which the emergency toilets are used is from the day a disaster strikes to the day the sewage system is restored.

3. Results and considerations Example results of the estimations performed on apartment housing

- As a result of calculating estimated water tank capacities by using the planning and designing flow:
- ◆ Recovery levels I and Ⅱ should use the one-system water supply configuration.
- Recovery levels III and IV should use the two-system water supply configuration.



4. Summary

- In this report, amounts of water to be secured for daily life in the aftermath of disaster were determined with ample water in mind.
- In addition, a planning and designing flow to determine water tank capacities adequate for water supply and drainage facilities was proposed, with BCP and LCP in mind.

(1)Non-potable water should be introduced when considering BCP or LCP.

- (2)It would also be necessary to consider resilience improvement in system construction to ensure flexible handling of situations, such as urgent water usage restriction, supply and aid from outside, and the use of alternative means.
- Further study will be carried out with a focus on coastal areas and on the planning of an emergency toilet system.



Thank you for your attention!



Otsuka Laboratory

Department of Architecture Environmental Engineering, Kanto Gakuin University Otsuka Laboratory o